Polymer Bulletin

© Springer-Verlag 1981

Copolymerization of Ethylene with Propylene over the Thermally-Reduced γ-Al₂O₃-Supported TiCl₄ Catalyst

Kazuo Soga, Tsuneji Sano and Rikuo Ohnishi

Research Laboratory of Resources Utilization, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuta-cho, Midori-ku, Yokohama, 227, Japan

Summary

Copolymerization of ethylene with propylene was conducted over the thermallyreduced Y-Al₂O₃-supported TiCl₄ catalyst both in the absence or presence of AlEt₃ (or AlEt₂Cl). It was found that the structure of the polymer drastically changed from a random copolymer to polyethylene with an increase in the concentration of AlEt₃. A plausible mechanism was proposed for the copolymerization.

Introduction

Many patents and publications claim the synthesis of random and block copolymers from ethylene and propylene (BOOR 1979). The copolymer composition depends on the structure of the transition metal component and sometimes on the metal alkyl component. Carrick et al. first reported that the relative reactivities of ethylene and propylene were determined exclusively by the choice of the transition metal compound and were hardly dependent on the metal alkyl compound (CARRICK et al. 1960). When Al(i-Bu), was used as the metal alkyl component, the reactivity of propylene increased in the order: $HfCl_{4} < ZnCl_{4} < TiCl_{4} < VOCl_{2} < VCl_{4}$. Junghanns et al. found a decrease in the relative reactivity of ethylene when AlEt or AlEt_Cl was replaced by AlEtCl or Al_2Et_Cl $_3$ with the use of VCl or VOCl as the transition metal compound (JUNGHANNS et al. 1962). The relative reactivity of ethylene was also found to decrease with decreasing the valence of V or Ti (NATTA et al. 1958). Thus, variability in the active site plays an important role in determining the composition of the copolymer. Among the catalysts reported so far, such soluble catalysts as VOC1, and VC1, combined with AlEt C1 are known to be most suitable for producing a random or moderately alternating copolymer. On the other hand, block copolymers have been prepared with heterogeneous catalysts which seem to contain multiple active sites. The copolymers of ethylene with propylene are of

0170-0839/81/0004/0157/\$01.60

very importance in the family of commercial products, and industrial efforts have been directed forward finding novel and more efficient catalysts for the synthesis of the desired copolymer. However, the nature of the active sites is still left to be much studied.

In the present paper was conducted the copolymerization of ethylene with propylene over the thermallyreduced γ -Al₂O₂-supported TiCl₄ catalyst, which had previously been proved to initiate isotactic polymerization of propylene without cocatalysts (SOGA et al. 1980).

Experimental

<u>Materials</u> Research grade propylene, ethylene and n-heptane (from Takachiho Chemical Co.) were purified according to the same procedures reported earlier (SOGA et al. 1977). Nitrogen of ultra high purity (from Nihon Sanso Co., 99.9989 %) was further purified by passing through the molecular sieve 3A column cooled at -196 °C. γ -Al₂O₃ (from Nishio Co., 140 m²/g) was evacuated at 300 °C³ for 4 h immediately before use. The other chemicals (research grade) were also commercially obtained and used without further purification.

Preparation of the catalyst. To a solution of TiCl₄ in n-heptane at room temperature was added a measured amount of γ -Al₂O₂ which had been heated i. vac. at 300 °C for 4 h. After raising the temperature to the boiling point, the mixture was kept standing for 6 h with vigorous stirring. Then, the precipitate was filtered under nitrogen, washed with plenty of n-heptane and heated i. vac. at 90 °C for 3 h. The supported catalyst, thus prepared, was used as polymerization catalyst by heating i. vac. at 800 °C for 4 h.

<u>Copolymerization procedures</u>. In a 100 ml stainless steel reactor equipped with a magnetic stirrer were placed 5 ml of n-heptane and ca. 0.5 g of supported catalyst under nitrogen. The reaction vessel was cooled by liquid nitrogen, degassed i. vac. and then measured amounts of ethylene and propylene were introduced according to a similar procedure as described (SOGA et al. 1977). The polymerization was terminated by adding plenty of dilute hydrochloric acid solution in methanol. The polymer was extracted by hot o-dichlorobenzene under nitrogen, precipitated by adding plenty of methanol and dried i. vac. at room temperature overnight.

Analytical procedures. The amount of titanium contained in the catalyst was determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry. The composition of the polymer was determined by the IR (WEI 1961) and ¹³C-NMR spectra (RANDALL 1978, CARMAN et al. 1977).

¹³C-NMR spectra were measured at 120 °C using a JEOL JNM PS-100 spectrometer equipped with the PFT-100 Fourier transform system operating at 25.14 MHz. The pulse interval was 11 s and the number of transients accumulated were 1000 - 2000. Solutions were made up in trichlorobenzene to 3.0 weight percent. The chemical sift was represented in ppm downfield from internal tetramethylsilane (TMS).

Results and Discussion

We have previously measured the ESR spectrum of the γ -Al₂O₃-supported TiCl₄ catalyst (composed of ca. 75 % of [1] and ca. 25 % of [2])₄(SOGA et al. 1980).₃₊ A considerable amount of Ti was reduced to Ti by heating the catalyst at 800 °C for 4 h (eq. 1),



$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} Al=0\\ 0\\ \end{array} & \text{Ticl}_{2} \end{array} \xrightarrow{800^{\circ}\text{C}, 4h} \text{ no reduction} \end{array} (2)\\ \begin{array}{c} Al=0\\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} [3], (ca. 25\%) \end{array} \end{array}$$

and the thermally-reduced catalyst [2] initiated isotactic polymerization of propylene without cocatalysts ([1] was inactive).

[1] was completely reduced to [2] (eq. 1) when the catalyst was treated with AlEt_Cl (10 mmol/l-n-heptane) at 75 °C. The reduction of [2] didn't proceed at all under the condition, while no reduction took place in case of [3] (eq. 2) as reported by Chien et al. (CHIEN et al. 1976).

On the other hand, when the catalyst was treated with AlEt, (10 mmol/l-n-heptane) at 75 °C, [1] was rapidly reduced to give the mixture of [2] and further reduced titanium species (Ti² and Ti⁰).

Taking these results into consideration, the copolymerization of ethylene with propylene was conducted over the thermally-reduced γ -Al_O_-supported TiCl₁ catalyst in the absence or presence of AlEt (or AlEt_Cl). The homopolymerizations of ethylene and propylene over the catalyst without cocatalyst were first examined. As shown in runs no. 1 and 7 in Tab. I, the relative reactivities of ethylene and propylene over the present catalyst were much closer as compared with those over the conventional

Rur	. oN t	Ethylene (2)	Propylene (l)	Ti-supported (matom/g-cat.)	Polymer Yield (g/matom-Ti·h)	Propylene in Cop (mol %) 13_{C-N}	olymer _{MR} c)
ł	г	5.0	0	0.36	9.2	I	
	N	3.5	3.5	0.36	9.6	15 -	
	m	2.0	5.0	0.36	5.7	24 –	
	4	1.0	6.0	0.36	3.7	38 37	
	5d)	l.0	6.0	0.36	18	34 36	
	9	0.5	6.5	0.36	Ι. 4	51 -	
	7	0	7.0	0.36	0.74	I	
a)	Copol ₃ (evacu	ymerization Jated at 800	was conducte) °C for 4 h	ed at 65 °C for 1) Y-Al ₂ O ₂ -support	15 min over the t	hermally-reduced t by using 5 ml o	L L

TABLE I

+ n-heptane in a 100 ml stainless steel reactor. Ref. (WEI 1961) Ref. (RANDALL 1978, CARMAN et al. 1977) 0.4 mmol of AlEt₂C1 was added.

a o p

titanium based catalysts (BOOR 1979). Then the copolymerization was conducted at various molar ratios of ethylene/propylene in the absence of cocatalyst.

ethylene/propylene in the absence of cocatalyst. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum of a typical copolymer [Fig. 2(a)] indicates that the present catalyst gives selectively a random copolymer. The addition of AlEt₂Cl to the catalyst (run no. 5 in Tab. I) improved the activity, keeping the copolymer composition unchanged. This may be attributed to an increase in the concentration of [2] as mentioned above. Then the copolymerization as well as the homopolymerizations were conducted with various concentrations of AlEt₃. In Figs. 1 and 2 are shown the polymer yield as a function of the concentration of AlEt₃, respectively. With increasing the concentration of AlEt₃, the activity for propylene polymerization markedly decreased, whereas that for ethylene polymerization slightly increased.

It should be noted here that the structure of the copolymer drastically changed from a random copolymer to polyethylene with an increase in the concentration of AlEt₂. These results strongly suggest that only the Ti³⁺ species is active for propylene polymerization as described previously (SOGA et al. 1980), while further reduced titanium species (probably Ti²⁺) as well as the Ti³⁺ species are active for ethylene polymerization.

The present results may lead to the following conclusion : It is most important for the production of a random copolymer of ethylene and propylene to prevent the catalyst from over-reduction.

A study on the preparation of much highly active catalysts for producing a random copolymer of ethylene and propylene is now in progress from this point of view, and the detailed results will be reported in another paper.

The ass	ignments of the symbols in Figure 2
	(CARMAN et al. 1977)
Saa	Methylene sequence length l
SaB	Two in each sequence length 2
Say	Two in each sequence length 3
Sab+	Two in each sequence length M > 3
SBB	Methylene sequence length 3
SBY	Two in each sequence length 4
SBS+	Two in each sequence length $M > 4$
SYY	Methylene sequence length 5
Sys+	Two in each sequence length M > 5
$S_{\delta}^{+}\delta^{+}$	M - 6 in each sequence length $M > 6$
$\underline{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{+}$	Two for each
^Ή ββ	



Figure 1. Dependence of polymer yield on the

concentration of AlEt₃. Polymerization was conducted at 65 °C over the thermally-reduced (evacuated at 800 °C for 4 h) γ -Al₂O₃-supported TiCl₄ catalyst by using 5 ml of n-heptane in a 100 ml stainless steel reactor.

- **O** : copolymerization of ethylene (1 l) with propylene (6 l)
- homopolymerization of propylene (7 l)
 homopolymerization of ethylene (5 l)



Figure 2. ¹³C-NMR spectra of ethylene-propylene copolymers measured at 120 °C in 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene. Copolymerization of ethylene with propylene was conducted at 65 °C over the thermally-reduced (evacuated at 800 °C for 4 h) γ -Al₂O₃-supported TiCl₄ catalyst by using 5 ml of n-heptane, 1³L of ethylene and 6 L of propylene. a) without AlEt₃. b), c) and d) with 0.01, 0.1 and 0.5 mmol-AlEt₃/mL-nheptane, respectively.

References BOOR, J. Jr. : "Ziegler-Natta Catalysts and Polymerization", Academic Press Inc., New York, 1979 CARRICK, W. L., F. J. KAROL, G. L. KARAPINKA and J. J. SMITH : J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 1502 (1960) JUNGHANNS, E., A. GUMBOLDT and G. BIER : Makromol. Chem., 58, 18 (1962) NATTA, G., A. VALVASSORI, G. MAZZANTI and G. SARTORI : Chim. Ind., 40, 717 (1958) SOGA, K., T. SANO and S. IKEDA : Polym. Bull., 2, 817 (1980) SOGA, K., K. IZUMI, S. IKEDA and T. KEII : Makromol. Chem., <u>178</u>, 337 (1977) WEI, P. E. : Anal. Chem., <u>33</u>, 215 (1961) RANDALL, J. C. : Macromolecules, <u>11</u>, 33 (1978) CARMAN, C. J., R. A. HARRINGTON and C. E. WILKES : Macromolecules, <u>10</u>, 536 (1977) SOGA, K., K. IZUMI, M. TERANO and S. IKEDA : Makromol. Chem., <u>181</u>, 657 (1980) CHIEN, J. C. W. and J. HSIEH : J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed. <u>14</u>, 1915 (1976) KAROL, F. J. : "Ethylene Polymers" in "Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology", Ed. H. F. Marks, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1976, Vol. 6

Received December 20, 1980 Accepted January 12, 1981